



Training evaluation report

Training session: Embedded Linux Workshop
Training dates: Mar. 31, Apr. 1, May 26-27, 2008


Number of participants: 5
Returned feedback forms: 5/5

Thank you for having organized a Free Electrons training session!
Here is a wrap-up of evaluations from participants.

Learning objectives


1. How well did the course meet your learning objectives?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not met
2	0	
3	0	
4	3	
5	2	Fully met



2. How was the duration of the course?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Too short. Couldn't learn enough in such a short time.
2	2	A little too short
3	3	Just fine
4	0	A little too long
5	0	Definitely too long. The concepts could be learned in much less time.






Lecture materials


3. How helpful were the lecture materials?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not helpful. Made things more difficult to learn and understand.
2	0	
3	0	
4	3	
5	2	Really made things easier to understand and learn.



4. Will you recommend these materials to others?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No. Not helpful without following the sessions.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	2	Definitely



N/A

5. If you have Linux project opportunities, will you use these materials again?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No. I will look for other sources of information.
2	0	
3	1	
4	0	
5	3	Definitely




N/A



Instructor added value


6. How knowledgeable was the instructor?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not enough for my own technical experience.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	3	More than enough for my own experience.




7. Did instructor oral explanations add value to the lecture materials?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No added value to reading the materials.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	3	Yes. The instructor really made very useful oral explanations.




8. How well did the instructor answer questions from the audience?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Poorly. Didn't try to understand the questions well or rarely managed to find useful answers.
2	0	
3	0	
4	1	
5	4	Answered very well to questions from the audience



9. Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No, not enough available and helpful during the labs.
2	0	
3	0	
4	0	
5	4	Yes. The instructor definitely helped to make labs a learning opportunity.




N/A - I didn't wish to participate to practical labs.



Training labs

10. How useful were the training labs?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not useful. Didn't add significant value to the lectures.
2	0	
3	0	
4	1	
5	3	Very useful. Helped to highlight things not understood and build useful experience.



N/A - I didn't wish to participate to labs, my technical level is not sufficient.


11. How difficult were the training labs?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Too difficult. Didn't help or even discouraged a beginner to get more familiar with the tools and concepts.
2	1	A bit too difficult. Would be better if the lab instructions gave a bit more details about explanations.
3	3	Just fine. Prompted me to look for answers, get my own experience and find my own solutions.
4	0	Too easy for my own technical level.
5	0	Too easy for everyone. Should challenge participants more and help everyone to practice on real issues.



12. Was enough time dedicated to the practical labs?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No. More practice is needed
2	2	A little bit more time would help.
3	2	Just fine
4	0	A little bit less time would be enough.
5	0	Don't need to spend so much time on labs. On-the-job practice is best






Training conditions


13. How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment...)?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Poor.
2	0	
3	1	
4	3	
5	0	Very good.




14. How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Poor. Not powerful enough to execute practical labs.
2	0	
3	0	
4	3	
5	1	Very good. Very little time waiting, more time learning.



15. How well was the course organized (program, registration, meeting the schedule...)?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not well
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	3	Very well






Overall rating


16. How much did you learn?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Definitely not much
2	0	
3	1	
4	2	
5	2	Definitely more than I expected.



17. How useful will this course be in your daily job?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not useful.
2	1	
3	1	
4	2	
5	1	Very useful. Will make my job easier and more productive.



2 - Useful for my own learning, but I don't develop under Linux.

18. Would you recommend this course to others?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No.
2	0	
3	0	
4	1	
5	4	Yes, definitely






19. Overall rating

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Very disappointing
2	0	Disappointing
3	0	A little bit disappointing
4	2	OK
5	1	Pretty good
6	2	Very good
7	0	Excellent



20. An extra session?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No
2	1	
3	2	Why not?
4	1	
5	1	Yes, definitely



Number of votes for topics in an extra session

Understanding the Linux kernel	Linux device driver development	Linux board support packages	Embedded system development	Miscellaneous needs	
Process management	USB device drivers	1 Processor specific code	Lightweight tools	Java	1
Filesystem implementation	1 USB host drivers	Board specific code	Embedded system development tools	Real-time	
Memory management	1 PCI drivers	Board specific interrupt support code	Cross-compiling toolchains	Audio	
Scheduling implementation	Network drivers	DMA support	Debugging solutions	Video	1
Bootstrap code	1 Block drivers	1 Bootloader development	1 Software development tools	uClinux	
	Flash drivers	1	Programming with graphical libraries	1 Voice over IP	1
	I2S drivers		POSIX API		
	Input drivers	1	System optimization	1	
	Sound drivers		Root filesystem creation		
	Video drivers	1			