

Training evaluation form and feedback

Training session:

Date:

Thank you very much for participating to this course, and for funding a course which materials are freely available to everyone. Hopefully, this will attract more contributors to free software for embedded devices, for everyone's best interest, and of course for yours too!

If you could dedicate a few more minutes of your time answering the below questions, your comments and suggestions would be highly appreciated. Be frank ... the instructor is really interested in getting your true feedback. It will be taken into account whenever possible, and will be reflected on future releases on

<http://free-electrons.com/training>.

Your feedback will be also useful to your own organization to evaluate future training needs, to improve training conditions, and to measure the usefulness of their training investment. If you think about more suggestions and comments later, don't hesitate to send them anytime you want to feedback@free-electrons.com.

Learning objectives

1. How did the course meet your learning objectives?

1. Not met 2. 3. 4. 5. Fully met	Comments and suggestions.
--	---------------------------

2. How was the duration of the course?

1. Too short. Couldn't learn enough in such a short time. 2. A little too short 3. Just fine 4. A little too long 5. Definitely too long. The concepts could be learned in much less time.	Comments and suggestions.
--	---------------------------

Lecture materials

3. How helpful were the lecture materials?

1. Not helpful. Made things more difficult to learn and understand. 2. 3. 4. 5. Really made things easier to understand and learn.	Comments and suggestions
--	--------------------------


4. Will you recommend these materials to others?

1. No. Not helpful without following the sessions. 2. 3. 4. 5. Definitely.	Comments and suggestions
--	--------------------------

5. If you have Linux project opportunities, will you use these materials again in the future?

1. No. I will look for other sources of information. 2. 3. 4. 5. Definitely.	Comments and suggestions
--	--------------------------

Please turn the page



Instructor added value

6. How knowledgeable was the instructor?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not enough for my own technical experience. 2. 3. 4. 5. More than enough for my own experience. 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
--	---------------------------------

7. Did instructor oral explanations add value to the lecture materials?


<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No added value to reading the materials. 2. 3. 4. 5. Yes. The instructor really made very useful oral explanations. 	<p>Comments. Don't hesitate to suggest things that could help the instructor improve his or her oral message and skills!</p>
--	--

8. How well did the instructor answer questions from the audience?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Poorly. Didn't try to understand the questions well or rarely managed to find useful answers. 2. 3. 4. 5. Answered very well to questions from the audience. 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
---	---------------------------------

9. Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No, not enough available and helpful during the labs. 2. 3. 4. 5. Yes. The instructor definitely helped to make labs a learning opportunity. 	<p>Comments.</p>
---	------------------



Training labs

10. How useful were the training labs?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not useful. Didn't add significant value to the lectures. 2. 3. 4. 5. Very useful. Helped to highlight things not understood and build useful experience. 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
--	---------------------------------

11. How difficult were the training labs?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Too difficult. Didn't help or even discouraged a beginner to get more familiar with the tools and concepts. 2. A bit too difficult. Would be better if the lab instructions gave a bit more details and explanations. 3. Just fine. Prompted me to look for answers, get my own experience and find my own solutions. 4. Too easy for my own technical level. 5. Too easy for everyone. Should challenge participants more and help everyone to practice on real issues. 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
---	---------------------------------

12. Was enough time dedicated to the practical labs?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. More practice is needed 2. A little bit more time would help. 3. Just fine 4. A little bit less time would be enough. 5. Don't need to spend so much time on labs. On-the-job practice is best anyway. 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
---	---------------------------------

Training conditions

In the below three questions, you also rate the training environment. This can help people in charge of the training facilities to improve their service and training conditions for future sessions. So, please rate the below points, even if some were not provided by Free Electrons (training room, computers...).

13. How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment...)?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Poor. 2. 3. 4. 5. Very good. 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
---	---------------------------------


14. How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Poor. Not powerful enough to execute practical labs. 2. 3. 4. 5. Very good. Very little time waiting, more time learning. 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
--	---------------------------------

15. How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not well. 2. 3. 4. 5. Very well 	<p>Comments and suggestions</p>
--	---------------------------------

Please turn the page



Overall rating

16. How much did you learn?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Definitely not much. 2. 3. 4. 5. Definitely more than I expected. 	Comments and suggestions
--	--------------------------

17. How useful should this course be in your daily job?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not useful. 2. 3. 4. 5. Very useful. Will make my job easier and more productive. 	Comments and suggestions
--	--------------------------

18. Would you recommend this course to others?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. 2. 3. 4. 5. Yes, definitely. 	Comments and suggestions
---	--------------------------

19. Overall rating

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Very disappointing 2. Disappointing 3. A little bit disappointing 4. OK 5. Pretty good 6. Very good 7. Excellent 	Any other comments and suggestions that you couldn't make in the other sections
---	---

20. An extra session?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. 2. 3. Why not? 4. 5. Yes, definitely. 	<p>Would you be interested in an extra session covering more topics? If so, which topics?</p> <p>You may underline some topics in the below table, or add extra ones.</p>
--	---

Understanding the Linux kernel	Linux device driver development	Linux board support packages	Embedded system development	Miscellaneous needs
Process management Filesystem implementation Memory management Scheduling implementation Bootstrap code Others needs: (please detail)	USB device drivers USB host drivers PCI drivers Network drivers Block drivers Flash drivers I2S drivers Input drivers Sound drivers Video drivers Other needs: (please detail)	Processor specific code Board specific code Board specific interrupt support code DMA support Bootloader development Others needs: (please detail)	Lightweight tools Embedded system development tools Cross-compiling toolchains Debugging solutions Software development tools Programming with graphical libraries POSIX API System optimization Root filesystem creation Others needs: (please detail)	Java Real-time Audio Video uClinux Voice over IP Others needs: (please detail)

Thank you very much for your time!

Sources of this document (Open Document Format): http://free-electrons.com/doc/training/evaluation_form.odt
 You are free to reuse and modify this document for your own needs, with no restriction.

